Biannual Research Journal
AL-IDAH
Published by
SHAYKH ZAYED ISLAMIC CENTRE
UNIVERSITY OF PESHAWAR
PESHAWAR PAKISTAN
ISSN (Print) : 2075-0307
ISSN (Online) : 2664-3375
OPEN ACCESS
الإيضاح
www.al-idah.pk
VOL. 44 Issue. 1
Jan-Jun 2026

The Indispensable Role of Philosophy in the Comprehension of Classical Islamic Heritage: A Research Review

Issue: *https://www.al-idah.pk/index.php/al-idah/issue/view/44*
URL: *https://www.al-idah.pk/index.php/al-idah/article/view/1009*
Article DOI: *https://doi.org/10.37556/al-idah.044.01.1009*

Author (s) :
Zahoor Ahmed
PhD Scholar, Dept of Islamic and Religious Studies, University of Haripur., Email: f21-0266@student.uoh.edu.pk
Junaid Akbar
Professor Dept of Islamic and Religious Studies, University of Haripur., Email: junaid8181@gmail.com

How to Cite **:** *Ahmed, Z. and Akbar, J. 2026. The Indispensable Role of Philosophy in the Comprehension of Classical Islamic Heritage: A Research Review. Al-Idah . 44, -1 (Jun. 2026), 10 - 24.*

Publisher **:** *Shaykh Zayed Islamic Centre, University of Peshawar, Al-Idah . 44, -1 (Jun. 2026), 10 - 24.*

Article History:

Received on:	***16 – Feb - 2026***
Accepted on:	***03 – April - 2026***
Published on:	***30– June - 2026***

Abstract & Indexing
Islamic Research Index
Australian Islamic Library
Crossref
DOAJ Directory of Open Access Journals
International Scientific Indexing
Index Copernicus International

Abstract

This research article investigates the extent to which philosophy and logic are necessary for a meaningful understanding of the classical Islamic intellectual heritage (Turath). The central question addressed is whether a scholar can achieve genuine comprehension of the major Islamic sciences — particularly Scholastic Theology (Ilm al-Kalam), Qur'anic Exegesis (Tafsir), Principles of Islamic Jurisprudence (Usul al-Fiqh), and Islamic Mysticism (Tasawwuf) — without grounding in philosophical terminology and rational methodology. Through close reading and critical examination of selected classical primary sources, the study finds that Muslim scholars did not merely borrow philosophical concepts but actively wove them into the very fabric of their intellectual output. An analysis of theological works such as Al-Mawaqif, exegetical writings like Tafsir al-Kabir, the theoretical structure of Usul al-Fiqh, and the metaphysical dimensions of later Tasawwuf reveals that philosophical discourse is deeply embedded in these sciences and cannot be treated as merely incidental. The study concludes that philosophy and logic served as indispensable epistemological instruments — without which the complex terminology, rational debates, and intellectual architecture of the classical tradition remain, in large measure, difficult to access with scholarly precision.

Keywords: *Philosophy, Islamic Studies, Scholastic Theology (Ilm al-Kalam), Qur'anic Exegesis (Tafsir), Usul al-Fiqh, Islamic Mysticism (Tasawwuf), Turath, Epistemology.*

Introduction

Islam presents a complete and self-sufficient way of life, one whose intellectual foundations are deeply anchored in rational inquiry and reflective thought. The Holy Qur'an does not merely issue commands; it repeatedly invites its readers to observe, reflect, and reason about the world around them. In the early centuries of Islamic civilization, the religious sciences took shape organically, expressed entirely through the Arabic language and drawing exclusively upon revealed sources.

A decisive shift occurred during the Abbasid period, when the Islamic world came into sustained contact with foreign intellectual traditions — most consequentially, Greek philosophy and Aristotelian logic. This encounter was not passive. As philosophical and rational objections began to be directed against Islamic beliefs by critics from within and outside the tradition, Muslim scholars chose to meet those objections on their own terms, employing the same rational and logical tools that had been used against them. The result was not a compromise of Islamic doctrine but rather a remarkable intellectual synthesis, in

which philosophical terminology and methods of argumentation became gradually embedded within the Islamic sciences themselves.

This process of synthesis was not the work of a single generation. It was pioneered by Imam al-Ghazali, who engaged philosophy critically and on its own ground, and was carried to its fullest elaboration by scholars such as Imam al-Razi and Imam al-Amidi.[1] The legacy of this intellectual labour is the vast body of classical Islamic scholarship — the Turath — that we inherit today. What distinguishes this heritage is that its language, its modes of expression, and its methods of argumentation are simultaneously logical and philosophical in character.

A considerable body of scholarship has examined the relationship between Islamic theology and Greek philosophy in isolation. What has received comparatively less attention is the degree to which philosophical terminology and rational frameworks penetrated several Islamic disciplines simultaneously. This study addresses that gap by bringing together an analysis of theology, Qur'anic exegesis, legal theory, and later mysticism within a single framework, seeking to demonstrate that philosophy's integration into Islamic scholarship was not discipline-specific but tradition-wide.

Literature Review

The question of whether philosophy is indispensable for understanding the classical Islamic sciences has been approached from several angles in the existing literature. The following overview situates the present study within this ongoing scholarly conversation and identifies the gap it seeks to fill.

Philosophy and Scholastic Theology (Kalam)

The relationship between philosophical argumentation and the development of Kalam has been examined in considerable detail. Richard Frank's foundational studies of Ash'arite theology demonstrated that the mature Kalam tradition was constructed upon philosophical categories — particularly those drawn from Aristotelian physics and ontology — without which its central debates about divine attributes, the nature of accidents, and the theory of the atom become difficult to follow.[2] Frank's meticulous analysis of al-Ash'ari and his successors showed that these scholars were not merely borrowing a convenient vocabulary; they were adopting a mode of reasoning whose internal logic shaped the conclusions they reached.

Frank Griffel's work on al-Ghazali extended this line of inquiry in an important direction. In *Al-Ghazali's Philosophical Theology* (2009), Griffel argued persuasively that al-Ghazali — conventionally portrayed as the great opponent of the philosophers — was himself a deeply philosophical thinker who employed the very methods he critiqued. This finding is directly relevant to the present study's argument: if even the most prominent critic of philosophy within the Islamic tradition could not escape its conceptual framework, this itself is strong evidence that philosophical literacy was a functional prerequisite for serious engagement with Kalam.[3]

Philosophy and Qur'anic Exegesis (Tafsir)

The philosophical dimensions of classical Tafsir have received less systematic attention than those of Kalam, though important contributions exist. Mahmoud Ayoub and other scholars of Tafsir literature have noted that the rationalist strand of Qur'anic commentary — most fully realized in the work of Fakhr al-Din al-Razi — transformed exegesis from a primarily linguistic and

traditional enterprise into a philosophical one. Al-Razi's Mafatih al-Ghayb is widely acknowledged to presuppose familiarity with the philosophical tradition as a condition of its proper reading, yet this acknowledgement has rarely been developed into a sustained scholarly argument.[4]

Oliver Leaman's broader surveys of Islamic philosophy have touched on the question of philosophical literacy and Tafsir, noting that the genre of philosophical commentary (al-tafsir al-falsafi) constitutes a distinct and demanding mode of engagement with the Qur'anic text. His observations, however, remain at the level of description rather than systematic demonstration.[5]

Philosophy and Legal Theory (Usul al-Fiqh)

The philosophical character of classical Usul al-Fiqh has been documented most rigorously by Wael Hallaq. In *A History of Islamic Legal Theories* (1997), Hallaq traced the growing rationalism within legal methodology and showed how theological and philosophical categories — particularly those drawn from Mu'tazilite and Ash'arite Kalam — became structurally integrated into the foundations of legal theory. Hallaq's work makes clear that the foundational questions of Usul al-Fiqh — concerning sovereignty, the ontology of legal rulings, and the rational basis of moral value — cannot be adequately addressed without philosophical preparation.[6]

Mohammad Hashim Kamali's *Principles of Islamic Jurisprudence* offers a more introductory account but nonetheless confirms the centrality of philosophical and rational categories in classical legal methodology. His discussion of the theoretical orientation of the Shafi'i school, in particular, highlights the degree to which abstract philosophical reasoning entered the very foundations of jurisprudential science.[7]

Philosophy and Islamic Mysticism (Tasawwuf)

The intersection of philosophy and later Tasawwuf — particularly the school associated with Muhyiddin Ibn Arabi — has been examined by William Chittick, whose detailed studies of Ibn Arabi's metaphysical system remain the most thorough available in English. Chittick's analyses of the doctrine of the Unity of Being (Wahdat al-Wujud) and the related concepts of divine self-manifestation (Tajalli) make clear that these are not poetic images or spiritual impressions but philosophically precise concepts whose meaning is not accessible without prior engagement with the ontological and epistemological questions they presuppose.[8]

Seyyed Hossein Nasr's work on Islamic intellectual history has similarly argued that the later tradition of theoretical mysticism represents one of the most philosophically sophisticated expressions of Islamic thought, and that its study demands philosophical preparation of a high order. Nasr's broader claim — that the Islamic intellectual tradition as a whole cannot be properly understood without its philosophical dimensions — is the closest precedent in the existing literature to the argument advanced in this article.[9]

The Gap the Present Study Addresses

The scholarship surveyed above has made important contributions to our understanding of philosophy's role within individual Islamic disciplines. What is largely absent from this literature, however, is a sustained cross-disciplinary study that examines the shared philosophical character of Kalam, Tafsir, Usul al-Fiqh, and Tasawwuf simultaneously, and that advances a unified argument — grounded

in the evidence of primary texts — about what this shared character means for the scholar or student who seeks to engage seriously with the classical heritage. The present study aims to fill this gap.

The Nature of Philosophy in the Islamic Tradition

Before engaging with the primary research question, it is necessary to establish precisely what kind of "philosophy" is under discussion. This clarification matters because the term is frequently misunderstood, and misidentifying the philosophy embedded in the Islamic Turath leads to serious errors of interpretation.

The philosophy woven into the fabric of classical Islamic scholarship is neither modern Western philosophy nor ancient Greek philosophy in its original, unmediated form. The Greek intellectual tradition — particularly Aristotelian logic and Neoplatonic metaphysics — did provide the initial structural framework. However, Muslim scholars were far from passive recipients of this inheritance. They subjected Greek thought to rigorous critical scrutiny, accepted what aligned with rational and monotheistic principles, discarded what contradicted foundational Islamic tenets, and introduced entirely new debates in the fields of epistemology and ontology that had no direct precedent in the Greek sources. Muslim thinkers actively synthesized these philosophical paradigms with Islamic theological commitments, modifying and refining them until they bore the unmistakable imprint of an independent intellectual tradition.[10]

The outcome of this sustained engagement was something genuinely distinct — a tradition now recognized in academic scholarship as Classical Islamic Philosophy (Al-Falsafa al-Islamiyya). It is this Islamized philosophical framework, characterized by its unique Greco-Islamic synthesis and shaped by centuries of Muslim intellectual labour, that gradually found its way into the core disciplines of Islamic learning. When this study speaks of philosophy as indispensable for understanding the classical heritage, it refers specifically to this tradition — not to Greek philosophy as such, and not to modern secular philosophy in any of its contemporary forms.

Research Question

The discussion presented in this article turns upon a single, focused question of scholarly significance:

> *Is engagement with philosophy and its associated terminology a serious scholarly requirement for any researcher or student who seeks a genuine and accurate understanding of the classical Islamic intellectual heritage?*

Methodology

This study employs qualitative textual analysis as its primary methodological framework. Rather than relying on quantitative data or empirical measurement, the research is grounded in the close reading and critical examination of selected classical Islamic primary sources. The principal texts under analysis are: Al-Mawaqif fi 'Ilm al-Kalam by Al-Iji, Mafatih al-Ghayb (Tafsir al-Kabir) by Fakhr al-Din al-Razi, Al-Mustasfa fi 'Ilm al-Usul by Al-Ghazali, and Al-Futuhat al-Makkiyya by Ibn Arabi. These four works were selected because they represent the most authoritative and widely studied texts

within their respective disciplines of Scholastic Theology, Qur'anic Exegesis, Principles of Jurisprudence, and Islamic Mysticism.

Alongside textual analysis, the study draws upon a historical-analytical approach to trace the entry and gradual embedding of philosophical thought into the Islamic intellectual tradition — from the Abbasid translation movement through the mature centuries of classical scholarship. This historical dimension contextualizes the textual findings and demonstrates that the philosophical character of these sciences was the product of a deliberate and sustained intellectual development, not an incidental or superficial influence.

A comparative analytical lens is further applied across all four disciplines to identify shared philosophical structures, terminologies, and patterns of argumentation. This cross-disciplinary comparison enables the study to advance its central claim — that philosophy functions as a foundational epistemological instrument running through the classical tradition as a whole. While this study does not question the doctrinal authenticity or the revealed foundations of these Islamic sciences, it does argue that the philosophical and logical frameworks embedded within the classical texts are pervasive enough that deep scholarly engagement with this heritage is substantially restricted without familiarity with the philosophical tradition.

Definition of Philosophy in the Islamic Context

Before proceeding to examine the individual Islamic sciences, it is essential to establish what the classical Muslim scholars themselves understood by the term "philosophy." Definitions imposed from outside a tradition rarely capture its internal logic, and this is particularly true in the case of Islamic intellectual history, where the meaning of philosophy was shaped by centuries of lived scholarly engagement.

The most authoritative definition within the Islamic intellectual tradition was articulated by Ya'qub ibn Ishaq al-Kindi in his treatise Risala fi al-Falsafa al-Ula (On First Philosophy). Al-Kindi defined philosophy as:[11]

"علْم الأشياء بحقائقها بقَدْر طاقَة الإنسان"

(Knowledge of the true nature of things, to the extent that human capacity permits.)

Several features of this definition deserve attention. First, it is explicitly bounded — philosophy is not a claim to unlimited or divine knowledge but a disciplined pursuit of truth within the acknowledged limits of human cognition. Second, it is oriented toward reality itself rather than mere opinion or speculation. Third, it positions philosophy as rigorous systematic rational inquiry, not abstract theorizing.

It is this epistemological and ontological orientation that later found its way into Islamic theology, Qur'anic exegesis, and jurisprudence. When Muslim theologians debated the nature of divine attributes, when exegetes interrogated the limits of human knowledge of God, and when jurists argued about the rational foundations of legal value, they were operating within the intellectual space that al-Kindi's definition had helped to open. Understanding this definition is therefore not a preliminary formality; it unlocks much of the internal logic of the tradition under examination.

The Integration and Evolution of Philosophy in Islamic History

A careful study of Muslim intellectual history reveals that philosophy did not enter the Islamic world quietly or by accident. Its arrival was dramatic, deliberate, and consequential. The formal channel of entry was the Abbasid Caliphate, spanning roughly the eighth to the tenth centuries CE, during which a sustained and state-sponsored translation movement brought the major works of Greek philosophy and science into Arabic for the first time. Baghdad became the intellectual centre of this enterprise, and the effects of this encounter would shape Islamic scholarship for centuries to come.

In its earliest phase, philosophy was cultivated as a relatively distinct and independent discipline. Thinkers such as Al-Kindi and Al-Farabi engaged with Aristotelian and Neoplatonic texts on their own terms, working to understand and systematize Greek thought within an Islamic intellectual environment. At this stage, philosophy and the traditional Islamic sciences remained largely separate, each developing according to its own internal logic and methodology.

This separation, however, did not last. As philosophical ideas circulated more widely across Muslim scholarly communities, theologians began to recognize both the challenge and the opportunity that rational methods presented. The challenge was that Greek philosophy raised questions — about causality, about the eternity of the universe, about the nature of divine knowledge — that could not be dismissed without serious intellectual engagement. The opportunity was that the same logical and argumentative tools could be turned to the defence and elaboration of Islamic doctrine. By the era of Imam al-Ghazali's celebrated critique of philosophy, and more decisively during the subsequent period of Imam Fakhr al-Din al-Razi, philosophical terminology had shed much of its foreignness.[12] Its concepts, its logical structures, and its metaphysical vocabulary had become so thoroughly absorbed into the traditional Islamic sciences that distinguishing the philosophical from the theological had become, in many texts, a genuinely challenging task.

It is against this historical background that the classical Islamic heritage must be read. The four disciplines examined in this study — Scholastic Theology, Qur'anic Exegesis, the Principles of Jurisprudence, and Islamic Mysticism — did not absorb philosophical thought in the same way or at the same pace. Each discipline has its own story of encounter and integration. What they share, however, is the outcome: texts whose argumentative structures, technical vocabularies, and intellectual assumptions are inseparable from the philosophical tradition that shaped them.

Scholastic Theology (Ilm al-Kalam) and Philosophical Argumentation

Scholastic Theology is the discipline within Islamic scholarship that undertakes the systematic rational investigation of God's existence and attributes, alongside the foundational doctrines of the faith. The eminent theologian Qadhi Adud al-Din al-Iji captured this essential character in his definition of the science:

> *"It is a science through which one gains the ability to establish religious beliefs by adducing proofs and dispelling doubts concerning them."*[13]

Allama Ibn Khaldun offered a complementary account: *"It is a science that involves arguing for articles of faith through rational proofs and refuting those who deviate in their beliefs."*[14]

What both definitions share is the insistence on rational proof as the operative method of the discipline. Theology in the Islamic tradition was never conceived as a simple recitation of doctrine; it was understood from the outset as a combative and argumentative science, one whose practitioners were expected to establish beliefs through demonstration and to dismantle opposing positions through rigorous counter-argument. This foundational orientation toward rational proof made theology deeply receptive to the methods and vocabulary of philosophy.

By the sixth to ninth centuries of the Hijri calendar, philosophical discourse had so thoroughly permeated theological literature that the two could scarcely be distinguished. Opening any major theological text of this period, a reader encounters elaborate discussions on the origination and eternity of the universe, the nature of the indivisible atom, the categories of substance and accident, theories of knowledge and its sources, the law of causality, and the distinctions between absolute and restricted divine power. These are the load-bearing structure of theological argument, not peripheral ornaments. Whether the disagreements under discussion were internal disputes among Muslim theological schools or external confrontations with non-Islamic philosophical traditions, the language of engagement was consistently philosophical.[15]

Over time, this philosophical density rendered the great theological texts genuinely challenging for readers lacking prior grounding in philosophy. A text that was once a work of religious defence had become, by the later classical period, a work that presupposed philosophical literacy as a basic condition of entry.

The most instructive illustration of this transformation is Al-Mawaqif by Qadhi Adud al-Din al-Iji. Al-Iji organized his work into six primary chapters, which he termed Mawaqif (Stations):[16]

- The First Station (Preliminaries): devoted entirely to logic and epistemology — the definition of knowledge, its classifications, and the distinction between theoretical and self-evident knowledge. Al-Iji's placement of this section first was deliberate; no reader can meaningfully proceed without first mastering its contents.
- The Second Station (General Affairs): an ontological foundation examining concepts applicable to all three categories of existent — the Necessary Being, substance, and accident. It establishes the conceptual architecture upon which the remaining stations are built.
- The Third Station (Accidents): addresses contingent entities that do not possess independent existence but depend upon a substance for their subsistence.
- The Fourth Station (Substances): examines contingent entities that do possess independent existence.
- The Fifth Station (Divine Matters): only after working through the logical, ontological, and physical foundations of the preceding four stations does Al-Iji turn to his ultimate subject — the existence and attributes of the Necessary Being. This is the theological heart of the work, reached only after extended philosophical preparation.

- The Sixth Station (Eschatology): matters known exclusively through revelation — resurrection, the gathering, and the life to come.

The architecture of Al-Mawaqif is itself an argument. Four of its six stations are concerned with logic, epistemology, and ontology rather than with theology in any narrow doctrinal sense. A reader who approaches this text without philosophical preparation will find the first half of the book impenetrable and will therefore be unable to access the theological conclusions toward which the entire work is oriented. Philosophy, in this text, is a structural prerequisite.

Theological Exegesis (Kalami Tafasir) and Rational Debates

If Scholastic Theology represents the most explicitly philosophical of the Islamic sciences, Qur'anic Exegesis might appear, at first glance, to be the least. The primary task of a Qur'anic commentator is to explain the meaning of the revealed text — a task that would seem to require linguistic and traditional learning above all else. This appearance, however, is misleading. Within the broader genre of Tafsir literature, a distinct and intellectually demanding subfield emerged — known as theological exegesis (Kalami Tafsir) — whose defining characteristic is its refusal to limit itself to linguistic or traditional explanation. Theological exegetes interrogated the verse's deeper rational and philosophical implications, engaging with ontological, epistemological, and theological questions that the text raised. The result was a body of commentary literature so saturated with philosophical argument that it constitutes a major site of philosophy's integration into the Islamic intellectual tradition.

The towering representative of this methodology is Imam Fakhr al-Din al-Razi, whose Mafatih al-Ghayb — more commonly known as Tafsir al-Kabir — stands as the defining example of philosophically engaged Qur'anic commentary. In this work, fundamental philosophical concepts — existence, quiddity, contingency, necessity, causality, and the epistemological limits of human cognition — arise organically from al-Razi's engagement with the text itself, because he understood the Qur'an to be raising these questions and demanding that they be addressed seriously.

A concrete illustration may be drawn from al-Razi's commentary on the Bismillah, where he confronts a question deceptively simple in formulation but complex in its implications: whether human beings are capable of comprehending the reality of God. Al-Razi constructs a systematic rational argument. His position is that human knowledge of God is strictly confined to His existence, His eternity, and His attributes — none of which penetrates to His true essence or quiddity. From this, he concludes that the Divine reality lies beyond the reach of human cognition.[17]

Every step of this argument depends upon philosophical foundations. The distinction between existence and quiddity, the nature of divine attributes and their relationship to the Divine essence, the epistemological question of what human faculties can and cannot reach — these are the building blocks of al-Razi's reasoning, and they belong to classical Islamic philosophy. A reader who arrives at this passage without prior engagement with these concepts will find the argument opaque in a way that no amount of linguistic knowledge can resolve.

The philosophical density of Tafsir al-Kabir is not confined to a single passage. When al-Razi addresses the sources of human knowledge — senses, intuition, axiomatic truths, and rational inference — and argues that each is inherently limited in ways that place the Divine essence beyond their reach, he is

working within the discipline of epistemology. When he refutes the notion that any name could signify a part of God's quiddity, his refutation rests on the philosophical principles of composition and contingency — the argument being that any composite entity is inherently dependent, and a dependent entity cannot be the Necessary Being.[18]

Each of these arguments follows the same pattern: a Qur'anic occasion gives rise to a theological question, which al-Razi resolves through philosophical reasoning that presupposes a reader already familiar with the relevant concepts and debates. Tafsir al-Kabir is a work whose argumentative method is philosophical and which can be read with genuine understanding only by someone who has already acquired some philosophical education.

Philosophical Debates in the Principles of Islamic Jurisprudence (Usul al-Fiqh)

The conclusion that philosophical literacy is important for engaging with the classical Islamic heritage is supported, with equal force, by an examination of the Principles of Islamic Jurisprudence (Usul al-Fiqh). Particularly within the Shafi'i methodological tradition, Usul al-Fiqh reveals itself to be a deeply theoretical science whose foundational questions belong, in their essential character, to the philosophy of law, moral philosophy, and ontology.

Classical legal theory recognized two broad methodological orientations. The first, associated with the Hanafi tradition, is the methodology of the Jurists — a pragmatic, case-driven approach in which legal principles are derived inductively from the existing body of substantive law. The second, associated with the Shafi'i tradition, is the methodology of the Theologians — a theoretical, deductive approach in which jurisprudence is treated as an independent abstract science, whose principles are established on rational grounds prior to their application to specific cases.[19]

The Shafi'i methodology begins not with legal cases but with questions. Who is the ultimate Sovereign (Hakim)? Does the authority to issue binding commands belong exclusively to God, or can unaided human reason also serve as a legitimate source of legal injunction? What, precisely, is the nature of a divine legal ruling (Hukm)? And what is the ontological basis of moral value — of good and evil (Hasan wa Qubh)? Are actions inherently good or evil by virtue of their own nature, or is moral value entirely contingent upon scriptural declaration?

These questions are the opening concerns of Usul al-Fiqh, the threshold through which every student of the discipline must pass before reaching any of its more technical discussions. They address the nature of authority, the ontology of law, and the epistemology of moral knowledge — domains that belong as much to philosophy as to jurisprudence.

On the issue of sovereignty, the Ash'arite position held that God alone is the true Sovereign and that revelation is the sole determinant of moral value, while the Mu'tazilite position maintained that human reason possesses an independent capacity to recognize moral truths. This disagreement is, at its root, a disagreement about moral philosophy and the relationship between reason and revelation — a debate that cannot be navigated without philosophical preparation.[20]

Beyond these foundational questions, the Shafi'i methodology employs formal logical principles throughout its substantive discussions. The treatment of analogy (Qiyas), deductive reasoning, the general and the specific, the absolute

and the restricted, and the various modalities of textual implication — all are presented within a recognizably logical framework that presupposes a reader trained in the relevant concepts.

Even in the syncretic approach that combined the strengths of both methodological traditions, the philosophical orientation remains intact. Major texts of this combined methodology — Al-Tawdhih, Al-Talwih, and Musallam al-Thubut — open with extended treatments of foundational theoretical debates before any specifically jurisprudential material is introduced.[21]

The Comprehension of Islamic Mysticism (Tasawwuf) and Philosophy

The case for philosophy's importance in understanding the classical Islamic heritage finds its final and perhaps most striking confirmation in the domain of Islamic Mysticism (Tasawwuf). The history of Tasawwuf is not a single, unbroken story of practical spiritual training; it is a history of intellectual evolution, in the course of which a tradition rooted in ethical self-purification gradually transformed into one of the most philosophically ambitious enterprises in Islamic thought.

The original spirit of Tasawwuf is captured by Syed Abul Hasan Ali Nadwi, who describes its essential meaning: *"Tazkiyah means adorning human souls with noble character and purifying them from vices. In short, the magnificent models and examples of this form of Tazkiyah are seen in the lives of the Companions, reflecting their sincerity and morality."*[22]

In this original conception, Tasawwuf was a framework for the moral and spiritual reformation of the human person. Its governing ideals were Tazkiyah — the purification of the inner self from moral corruption — and Ihsan — the cultivation of sincere worship. These were practical ideals realized through disciplined conduct, sustained devotion, and proximity to spiritually accomplished guides. In this earliest phase, Tasawwuf required no philosophical vocabulary.

The evolution away from this purely practical orientation was gradual but clear. Shah Waliullah Muhaddith Dehlawi, in his celebrated work Hama'at, proposed a four-era classification that illuminates this trajectory with particular precision:[23]

The First Era (Practical Mysticism of the Companions and Successors): The animating principle was Ihsan, expressed through rigorous adherence to the Shari'ah, abundant supererogatory devotions, sustained remembrance of God (Dhikr), and the cultivation of fear and love of the Divine. No specialized terminology existed in this period, and no metaphysical debates were deemed necessary. The spiritual life was lived rather than theorized.

The Second Era (Junayd Baghdadi and Shibli): Tasawwuf began its transformation into a recognizable intellectual discipline. A technical vocabulary emerged centred on spiritual states and stations (Ahwal wa Maqamat) — patience, gratitude, reliance upon God, contentment, annihilation (Fana'), and subsistence (Baqa'). The tradition was developing its own language, but that language remained primarily experiential and ethical rather than metaphysical.

The Third Era (Abu Sa'id Abul Khayr and Abul Hasan al-Kharaqani): Defined by the experience of spiritual attraction (Jadhb) and overwhelming ecstatic states (Wajd). The Khanqah system became more formally organized, and the relationship between master and disciple more structured. The

tradition was deepening, but remained anchored in lived spiritual experience rather than abstract philosophical construction.

The Fourth Era (Muhyiddin Ibn Arabi): It is here that the intersection of Tasawwuf and philosophy becomes defining. Under the influence of thinkers such as Ibn Arabi, Tasawwuf became simultaneously a comprehensive metaphysical philosophy. Debates that had no precedent in the earlier phases of the tradition — the Unity of Being (Wahdat al-Wujud), the divine self-manifestations (Tajalliyat), the six ontological descents (Tanazzulat-e-Sitta), and the Muhammadan Reality (Haqiqat-e-Muhammadiyya) — moved to the very centre of Sufi intellectual life.

Shah Waliullah's periodization makes visible something that is easy to miss: the philosophical turn in later mysticism was a historically specific development, not an eternal feature of the tradition. It was the product of a particular intellectual environment — one in which philosophy had already transformed theology, exegesis, and jurisprudence, and in which Sufi masters chose to engage with the same intellectual currents on their own terms.

The consequences for the accessibility of later Sufi literature are substantial. Ibn Arabi's Al-Futuhat al-Makkiyya presents a vision of reality organized around concepts — the Five Divine Presences (Hadharat-e-Khamsa), the hierarchies of existence, the relationship between the Necessary Being and the contingent world — that are inseparable from the philosophical tradition that shaped them. These are precise technical concepts with specific philosophical content, and their meaning is not easily accessible to a reader who has not first acquired the relevant philosophical background.

The Sufi masters of this later period drew upon both discursive rational methodology (Istidlali) and illuminationist methodology (Ishraqi) to articulate and defend their positions. Tasawwuf had become a science that demanded both spiritual preparation and philosophical literacy from those who wished to engage with it seriously. A reader who approaches these texts without that preparation will tend to read them either superficially, missing the precision of the concepts, or incorrectly, substituting intuitive impressions for technical understanding.

Refuting the Orientalist Objection: The True Source of Islamic Sciences

At this point in the argument, a significant intellectual objection demands direct attention. The case made throughout this study — that philosophy is deeply embedded in the classical Islamic sciences — might appear to lend support to a claim advanced by a number of prominent Western Orientalist scholars: namely, that Islamic Scholastic Theology and Islamic Mysticism are, in their essential character, little more than derivatives of Greek philosophy and Neoplatonism, dressed in Arabic clothing. This claim was stated with particular directness by T.J. De Boer and Ignaz Goldziher, who argued that Islamic intellectual disciplines lack genuine originality and represent, at bottom, an Arabic reproduction of Greek thought.[24]

This Orientalist position must be firmly rejected. Acknowledging that philosophy became deeply embedded in the Islamic sciences is not the same as conceding that those sciences were derived from philosophy or that their substance is Greek rather than Islamic. The distinction is crucial.

Three considerations establish the falsity of the Orientalist claim. The first is historical. The foundational structures of Ilm al-Kalam, Fiqh, and

Tasawwuf were already firmly in place — developed directly from Qur'anic teaching and the prophetic Sunnah — well before the Abbasid translation movement brought Greek philosophical texts into Arabic circulation. These disciplines did not wait for Greek philosophy to give them their subject matter or guiding commitments. They arose from within the Islamic revelatory tradition.

The second consideration concerns the fundamental difference in intellectual foundation. The animating source of the Islamic sciences is divine Revelation (Wahi) — a source categorically distinct from anything available to unaided human reason. Greek philosophy, by contrast, rests entirely upon the unassisted human intellect (Aql), working without the guidance of revelation. A tradition rooted in Revelation cannot be reduced to one rooted in unaided reason, however extensively it may have drawn upon rational methods in the course of its development.

The third consideration concerns the manner in which Muslim scholars actually engaged with Greek philosophy. They did not approach it as a source of doctrine or as an authority on matters of belief. They approached it as a set of intellectual tools — a refined methodology of argumentation, a precise logical vocabulary, and a set of conceptual distinctions that could be employed in the service of distinctively Islamic intellectual objectives. The function of philosophy within the Islamic sciences was instrumental, not foundational. It provided a language and a method; the substance and normative commitments of these sciences remained, at every stage, irreducibly Islamic.

What Greek philosophy gave to the Islamic intellectual tradition was principally its argumentative form — a structural framework and a dialectical language through which Islamic scholars could engage with philosophical objections and articulate their positions with greater precision. The core doctrines, the animating values, and the ultimate sources of authority within Islamic theology, jurisprudence, and mysticism were never Greek. They remained what they had always been: expressions of a tradition whose foundation is the word of God and the practice of His Prophet.

Conclusion

The argument advanced in this study rests on a foundation of textual evidence drawn from the most authoritative works of the classical Islamic intellectual tradition, and that evidence points consistently in a single direction. Philosophy and logic are not peripheral concerns for a student of the classical Islamic heritage, relevant only to one or two specialized disciplines and safely ignorable elsewhere. They function, rather, as a shared and foundational condition of the tradition as a whole — a common intellectual language without which the major disciplines of classical Islamic scholarship cannot be read with genuine scholarly understanding.

The historical process that produced this condition was neither accidental nor superficial. Following the Abbasid encounter with Greek philosophy, Muslim scholars made a deliberate and sustained choice to engage with rational and logical methods — not as an act of intellectual surrender but as a strategy of intellectual defence and elaboration. The consequence of that choice, accumulated over several centuries of scholarly labour, was a body of literature whose argumentative structures, technical vocabularies, and underlying conceptual frameworks are inseparable from the philosophical tradition that shaped them.

This study has traced that consequence across four foundational disciplines. In Scholastic Theology, it is visible in the architecture of Al-Mawaqif, where four of six primary stations are devoted to logic, epistemology, and ontology before a single specifically theological conclusion is reached. In Theological Exegesis, it is evident in the method of Imam al-Razi, whose Tafsir al-Kabir resolves Qur'anic questions through philosophical arguments that presuppose a reader already familiar with the relevant concepts. In the Principles of Islamic Jurisprudence, it surfaces in the foundational questions of the Shafi'i methodology — questions about sovereignty, the ontology of legal rulings, and the rational basis of moral value — that belong as much to moral philosophy as to legal theory. And in Islamic Mysticism, it becomes most significant in the theoretical Tasawwuf of Ibn Arabi, where concepts such as the Unity of Being, the divine self-manifestations, and the hierarchies of existence constitute a metaphysical system accessible in its full depth only to those who bring the necessary philosophical preparation.

Across all four disciplines, the pattern is consistent. When a tradition's foundational concepts are philosophical, when its technical vocabulary is philosophical, and when its characteristic modes of argumentation are philosophical, then philosophical literacy constitutes an important scholarly prerequisite. To approach these texts without that preparation is not merely to find them difficult; it is to remain at a scholarly remove from them — able to read the words but unable to follow the argument with precision.

Philosophy is not a marginal requirement confined to a single corner of the Islamic scholarly tradition. It is the shared epistemological instrument through which the classical heritage must be approached. Treating it as foreign to that heritage, or as a secondary discipline that the serious student of Islamic sciences can safely set aside, is not a position that the evidence of the tradition itself supports. The classical texts make clear that philosophy, once it entered the Islamic intellectual world, became a permanent feature of its landscape.

Reference

[1] Abu Hamid al-Ghazali, *Tahafut al-Falasifa*, 72–75; Fakhr al-Din al-Razi, *al-Mabahith al-Mashriqiyya*, 1:115; and Sayf al-Din al-Amidi, *Abkar al-Afkar*, 1:12–15

[2] Richard M. Frank, Beings and Their Attributes: The Teaching of the Basrian School of the Muʿtazila in the Classical Period (Albany: State University of New York Press, 1978), 14–27; see also Richard M. Frank, Al-Ghazali and the Ashʿarite School (Durham, NC: Duke University Press, 1994), 3–19

[3] Frank Griffel, *Al-Ghazali's Philosophical Theology* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2009), 8–15, 97–103.

[4] Mahmoud Ayoub, *The Qur'an and Its Interpreters*, vol. 1 (Albany: SUNY Press, 1984), 12–18; see also the discussion of Kalami Tafsir in Ignaz Goldziher, *Die Richtungen der islamischen Koranauslegung* (Leiden: Brill, 1920), 92–119.

[5] Oliver Leaman, *An Introduction to Classical Islamic Philosophy*, 2nd ed. (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2002), 18–24.

[6] Wael B. Hallaq, *A History of Islamic Legal Theories* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1997), 75–103.

[7] Mohammad Hashim Kamali, *Principles of Islamic Jurisprudence*, 3rd ed. (Cambridge: Islamic Texts Society, 2003), 4–12.

[8] William C. Chittick, *The Sufi Path of Knowledge: Ibn al-Arabi's Metaphysics of Imagination* (Albany: SUNY Press, 1989), xv–xxii, 3–18.

[9] Seyyed Hossein Nasr, *Islamic Philosophy from Its Origin to the Present* (Albany: SUNY Press, 2006), 1–22.

[10] Peter Adamson, "Philosophy in the Islamic World," in *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, ed. E. N. Zalta (Stanford: Metaphysics Research Lab, 2018).

[11] Al-Kindi, *Rasail al-Kindi al-Falsafiyya*, ed. M. A. Abu Rida (Cairo: Dar al-Fikr al-Arabi, 1950), 1:97.

[12] Adamson, "Philosophy in the Islamic World."

[13] Al-Iji, *Al-Mawaqif fi 'Ilm al-Kalam* (Beirut: Dar al-Kutub al-Ilmiyya, 1998), 1:34. For al-Iji's biography, see Al-Zirikli, *Al-A'lam*, 15th ed. (Beirut: Dar al-Ilm lil-Malayin, 2002), 3:295.

[14] Ibn Khaldun, *Muqaddimah*, 1st ed. (Beirut: Dar al-Fikr, 2001), 586.

[15] Ibid., 588–589.

[16] Al-Iji, *Al-Mawaqif*, 1:15–20.

[17] Al-Razi, *Mafatih al-Ghayb (Tafsir al-Kabir)*, 3rd ed. (Beirut: Dar Ihya al-Turath al-Arabi, 1999), 1:142–145.

[18] Ibid., 1:150–155.

[19] Ibn Khaldun, *Muqaddimah*, 575–576; Abu Zahra, *Usul al-Fiqh* (Cairo: Dar al-Fikr al-Arabi, 1958), 13–16.

[20] Al-Ghazali, *Al-Mustasfa fi 'Ilm al-Usul* (Beirut: Dar al-Kutub al-Ilmiyya, 1993), 1:48–50; Al-Amidi, *Al-Ihkam fi Usul al-Ahkam* (Riyadh: Dar al-Sumay'i, 2003), 1:113–115.

[21] Al-Taftazani, *Sharh al-Talwih 'ala al-Tawdhih* (Beirut: Dar al-Kutub al-Ilmiyya, 1996), 1:25–30; Al-Bihari, *Fawatih al-Rahmut bi-Sharh Musallam al-Thubut* (Beirut: Dar al-Kutub al-Ilmiyya, 1993), 1:50.

[22] Nadwi, *Tazkiyah wa Ihsan ya Tasawwuf wa Suluk* (Karachi: Majlis Nashriyat-e-Islam, 1998), 15.

[23] Shah Waliullah Dahlawi, *Hama'at*, trans. M. Sarwar (Lahore: Idara Thaqafat-e-Islamia, 2009), 50.

[24] T. J. De Boer, *The History of Philosophy in Islam* (London: Luzac & Co., 1903), 43.